



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,628	02/24/2004	Xiong Liu	STL11426	2953	
7590 01/18/2006			EXAMINER		
David K. Lucente			NEGRON, D	NEGRON, DANIELL L	
Seagate Technology LLC					
Intellectual Property-COL2LGL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
389 Disc Drive			2651	2651	
Longmont, CO 80503			DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/785,628	LIU ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Daniell L. Negrón	2651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[\implies]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M	ovember 2005					
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 November 2005</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
ت (۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	on of Claims						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11, 12, and 17</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,8 and 14-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>4,5,7,9,10 and 13</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	•	-1-111051100 0 0 440()	(1) (5)				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice 3) Inform Paper	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te	D-152)			

Art Unit: 2651

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

1. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: The recitation "...the track profile..." lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 6-10, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The currently amended claim 6 recites limitations considered as new matter.

  According to Applicant's specification (Fig. 8 and disclosure thereof) describe "determining a head positioning profile" as a step which is independent of zero path acceleration information.

  Tracks are "ZAPed" after track profiles are determined and track profiles from first and second tracks are used in combination in order to ZAP tracks that have been determined to exceed a threshold, therefore it is considered that the claimed limitation "...a step of determining a head positioning profile for a first track in relation to zero acceleration path (ZAP) information for the first track in combination with ZAP information for a second track..." is inconsistent with the specification and considered new matter.

Art Unit: 2651

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-3, 6, 8, and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shishida et al U.S. Patent No. 6,898,047.

Regarding claims 1-3, Shishida et al disclose a method comprising a step of determining a head positioning profile (i.e., repeatable runout average) for a first track in relation to a track profile (i.e., repeatable runout component) for the first track and a track profile for a second track (column 3, line 66 through column 4, line 16). Shishida et al further disclose that a track profile is calculated for n tracks, therefore it is considered that the disclosure of Shishida et al calculated a track profile for any plurality of tracks.

Regarding claim 14, Shishida et al disclose a method wherein the first and second tracks are disposed on a rotatable storage medium (Fig. 3 and disclosure thereof).

Regarding claims 6, 8, 15, and 16, claims 6, 8, 15, and 16 have limitations similar to those treated in the above rejections, and are met by the reference as discussed above.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 11, 12, and 17 are allowed.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Art Unit: 2651

Regarding claim 11, 12, and 17, independent claim 11 recites a system for compensating for positioning errors in a data storage device having a plurality of tracks by zero acceleration processing. The distinguishing elements of the claim are means for ZAPing at least one of the selectively determined tracks using a track profile of the track being ZAPed in addition to a track profile of a track adjacent to the track being ZAPed to generate a head positioning profile for the at least one track. The Examiner interprets this claim to fall within the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 112, 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph. The portion of the specification supporting the claim language is on page 8, line 14 though page 9, line 18 and page 12, lines 4-22 that describes means of the system for ZAPing selected tracks using track profiles of adjacent tracks. Therefore, the claim is construed to cover the corresponding structure, material or acts described within the specification.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

8. Claims 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniell L. Negrón whose telephone number is 571-272-7559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30am-5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R. Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 11, 2006

DAVID HUBSPETH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600